4th Quarter December 1, 2022



Opening Business

- Call to Order
- Introduction/Meeting Instructions
- Roll Call







PURPOSE: The St. Joseph River Basin Commission exists to provide a forum for the discussion, study and evaluation of water resource issues of common concern and foster cooperative planning and coordinated management of the basin's water and related land resources.

The St. Joseph River Basin Commission was created by the Indiana General Assembly in 1988 to address water quality issues in the St. Joseph River and all the lakes and streams that flow to it. The enabling statute of the Commission (IC 14-30-3) was amended in 2018 to expand the scope and powers of the Commission to address flooding as well. The Commission seeks to work with agencies, organizations and local units of government to:

- Develop plans and tools to improve water quality or mitigate flooding in the basin;
- Coordinate monitoring of water quality and hydrology in the basin;
- Promote best practices for urban stormwater and rural drainage management;
- Develop strategies to increase water infiltration and storage capacity in the basin;
- Distribute reports on the Commission's objectives, studies, and findings;
- Make recommendations in matters related to the Commission's objectives to political subdivisions in the basin and to other public and private agencies;
- Act as a coordinating agency for programs and activities of other public and private agencies that are related to the Commission's objectives;
- Serve as an advocate of the basin's interests before Congress and federal, state and local government agencies.

The SJRBC shall consist of the following (or their proxy):

- The **Mayor** of each Class-II City or the Executive of the municipality with the largest population if the County does not have a Class-II city
- A County Commissioner from each County
- The Health Officer from each County
- The County Surveyor from each County
- A **Representative of the SWCD** from each County
- The Director of the Indiana DNR

(paraphrased from IC 14-30-3-8)



Meeting Instructions

- State your <u>name</u> & <u>county</u> when making motions
- No need to state your name when voting



Opening Business

- Call to Order
- Introduction/Meeting Instructions
- Roll Call
 - Your Name
 - Your Title/Affiliation
 - Who you represent(if you are a proxy)





Consent Agenda

- Approval of Minutes
- Financial Report
- Approval of Claims





Consent Agenda

Approval of Minutes
September 1, 2022





Financial Report – FY 23 Q1 Activities

	FY22	FY23	FY23	% Budget	
	Actual	YTD	Budget	to Date	Notes
EVENUE					
State of Indiana	103,924.00	25,981.00	102,875	25%	Α
Local Government	61,164.00	1,890.00	63,660	3%	
Special Projects	2,695.00	0.00	0	0%	
Interest	1,255.05	2,014.95	600	336%	В
Other Income	0.00	0.00			
Total Revenue	169,038.05	29,885.95	167,135	18%	
XPENSES					
Basic Operations					
SJRBC Director	46,522.31	10,191.76	47,000	22%	
Accounting Services	2,096.21	198.79	4,000	5%	С
Office Rent	6,999.96	1,749.99	7,000	25%	
Legal	1,478.00	350.00	2,000	18%	
Bank Service Fees	0.00	0.00	200	0%	
Insurance	518.64	129.66	520	25%	
Travel/Mileage	615.97	282.78	1,200	24%	
Audit	0.00	0.00	0	0%	
Information Technology	999.96	249.99	2,500	10%	
Printing	92.62	23.63	200	12%	
Postage	9.01	0.57	50	1%	-
Telephone	240.00	60.00	240	25%	
Misc Expenses	0.00	0.00	250	0%	
Operating Expenses	59,572.68	13,237.17	65,160	20%	
Special Projects					
Water Monitoring	23,645.84	188.46	29,700	1%	D
Additional MACOG Staff	29,240.21	8,414.02	35,700	24%	•
Member/Partner Support	5,576.62	157.26	9,425	2%	E
Planning/Resource Development	15,054.30	0.00	19,150	0%	F
Education/Outreach Provision	5,286.91	1,031.74	8,000	13%	
Project Expenses	78,803.88	9,791.48	101,975	10%	
Total Expenses	138,376.56	23,028.65	167,135	14%	
HANGE IN NET ASSETS	\$30,661.49	\$6,857.30	\$0		



Financial Report – FYE 22 Assets

ASSETS

Cash	
Lake City Account (1944)	\$338,172.83
Total Cash	\$338,172.83
Accounts Receivable	
State of Indiana	\$25,981.00
Total Accounts Receivable	\$25,981.00
Total Assets	\$364,153.83
LIABILITIES & FUND BALANCE	
Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	\$21,936.15
Total Liabilities	\$21,936.15
Fund Balance	
Fund Balance FYE 2022	\$335,360.38
Change in Net Assets YTD	\$6,857.30
Total Fund Balance	\$342,217.68
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$364,153.83

SJRBC

Financial Report – FYE 22 Cash Flows

CASH RECEIPTS

Special Drainet Devenue	\$0.00	
Special Project Revenue	\$0.00	
Local Government Appropriation	\$1,890.00	
Elkhart County		
City of Elkhart		
City of Goshen		
LaGrange County		
Town of Lagrange		
Noble County		
City of Kendallville		
Steuben County	\$1,750.00	
City of Angola		
St Joseph County		
City of South Bend		
City of Mishawaka		
Kosciusko County		
Town of Syracuse	\$140.00	
Misc. Income	\$0.00	
Interest Earned	\$2,014.95	
Total Cash Receipts	\$3,904.95	

SJRBC

Consent Agenda

- Approval of Minutes
- Financial Report
- Approval of Claims

SJRBC Claims Submitted for Commission Approval

December 1, 2022

Accounts Payable Voucher Register

Date	Vendor	Description	Amount
7/31/2022	MACOG	Invoice #FY23-1 July 2022 Services	\$6,527.61
8/31/2022	MACOG	Invoice #FY23-2 August 2022 Services	\$7,789.99
9/30/2022	MACOG	Invoice #FY23-3 September 2022 Services	\$7,618.55
11/11/2022	KATE BARRETT	Invoice #2022-01 Mileage/Supplies	\$1,640.14
11/14/2022	CHRISTOPHER BURKE ENG.	Inovoice #27493 - Steuben Co. Needs Assessment	\$3,570.50
12/1/2022	THORNE GRODNIK	December 2022 Legal Expenses	\$350.00
		Total	\$27,496.79



Consent Agenda

- Approval of Minutes Financial Report
- Approval of Claims

Questions?





• Existing Project Updates:

• Wetland Task Force

- Final Report approved on 9/14, now available on our website <u>resources</u>
- Major findings included:
 - more than regulation is required to offset losses and increased rainfall
 - the In Lieu Fee program saves time and money, but is under-resourced
 - SEA 389 reduced mitigation and increased the loss of *lower ecological value* wetlands that still contribute to water storage, which has provided short-term economic benefits at the cost of long-term flooding issues
 - State agencies are significantly understaffed as a result of compensation and turnover problems
- "In summary, despite the diversity of views and perspectives on the task force there is a consensus that isolated wetlands do need prioritization at the state level."





• Existing Project Updates:

- Wetland Task Force

Drainage Benefit Apportionment







16

• Existing Project Updates:

- Wetland Task Force
- Drainage Benefit Apportionment
- Watershed Leadership Academy
 - Partnership w/ Friends of the St. Joe River Association
 - Four applications for financial assistance approved:
 - Kyle Burchett, Technician/Educator for LaGrange County SWCD
 - <u>Monica Davis</u>, Volunteer for Wood Lake Scout Reservation
 - Shaun Grace, CISMA Coordinator for St. Joseph County (MI) CD
 - Natasha Kauffmann, Redevelopment Coordinator for Elkhart County

Indiana Watershed Leadership Academy Cultivating Leaders * Creating Solutions * Connecting People & Water



• Existing Project Updates:

- Wetland Task Force
- Drainage Benefit Apportionment
- Watershed Leadership Academy
- Steuben Co. Stormwater & Drainage Needs Assessment
 - Met with Planning and Surveyor's Office Staff on 10/17
 - Reviewed findings and recommendations with MRBC on 11/29
 - Presentation to Drainage Board on 12/5:
 - Updates for Stormwater Ordinance and strategies for funding technical review
 - Drainage infrastructure/financing status assessment and prioritization process for maintenance/reconstruction projects





SJRBC Water Monitoring Program Updates

Kate Barrett, PhD Dec 1, 2022

SJRBC Biological monitoring program sites



A successful 2022 sampling season

100% retrieval rate from each monitoring site
Establishing baseline knowledge

A reminder of where to find the recent Elkhart County Water Report

https://sjrbc.com/resources/monitoring/index.html



Recent water monitoring projects

- Steuben County Water Monitoring Program Strategic Planning
- Nottawa Creek sampling with Calhoun County Conservation District in Michigan
- John Adams HS watershed presentation
- SJRBC interactive web map



Analyzing long-term water monitoring data for Steuben County



Nottawa Creek sampling: helping our MI partners



- Assisted Calhoun County Conservation District (and fellow IWLA peers!) with monitoring Nottawa Creek in October
- Nottawa Creek WMP being updated

John Adams High School Watershed Presentation



Increasing watershed awareness & engagement



Putting it all together: interactive web map coming soon: https://stjoe.riverdata.org/



These data & other existing and historic data are part of a larger framework to synthesize & interpret spatial and temporal trends in watershed health.

Thank you & best wishes to our intern, Brandon!



- Election of 2023 Officers
 - Customary officer progression
 - The existing officers expressed a willingness to serve in the following offices for 2023:
 - Alicia Walsh, Steuben County • Chair:
 - Vice Chair: Adam Bowden, City of Mishawaka

• Secretary: Jim Hess, Elkhart County





Election of 2023 Officers

- Unless there are other nominations from the floor, we need a motion to accept the following slate of officers for 2023:
 - Chair: <u>Alicia Walsh</u>, Steuben County
 - Vice Chair:
 - Secretary:
 - Treasurer:
- Adam Bowden, City of Mishawaka
- Jim Hess, Elkhart County





- Election of 2023 Officers
- Member Survey
 - Seeking feedback from members on:
 - How to best fulfill our statutory purpose
 - What the priorities should be for staff
 - Areas for improvement



SJRBC member survey 2022

The purpose of this survey is to seek feedback from members on how our Commission can best fulfill its <u>statutory purpose</u>, what the role of its staff should be, and areas for improvement. Your responses will help direct the development of projects and programs to benefit communities in our basin. Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey!

What do you think are the most important **benefits and values** provided by the basin's water and related land resources? Rank in order of importance with 1 being the most important, and 6 being least important. For those that you think rank equally, assign them the same number.

1-Most 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6-Least important choice choice choice important

What benefits and values provided by the basin's water and related land resources do you think face the biggest **problems or issues**? Rank in order of importance, with 1 being the most significant, and 6 being least significant. For those that you think rank equally, assign them the same number.

Most	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6 - Least
important	choice	choice	choice	choice	important



- Election of 2023 Officers
- Member Survey
- Watershed Awareness
 - Consider partnering on public awareness with:
 - Friends of the St. Joe River
 - Michiana Watershed
 - Others?



33

- Election of 2023 Officers
- Member Survey
- Watershed Awareness
- 23rd Annual Symposium
 - Return to in-person?
 - Coordinate with Watershed Celebration?







- Election of 2023 Officers
- Member Survey
- Watershed Awareness
- 23rd Annual Symposium
- Other Business/Updates
 - Announcements from Commission Members





Director's Report

- Administrative
- Education/Outreach
- Planning/Resource Development

36

- Water Monitoring
- Member/Partner Support
- Advocacy

Items From the Floor





Next Meeting March 2nd, 2023

